



PERSONALITY

NINTH EDITION

Jerry M. Burger

PERSONALITY



PERSONALITY

NINTH EDITION

Jerry M. Burger

Santa Clara University



Australia • Brazil • Mexico • Singapore • United Kingdom • United States

This is an electronic version of the print textbook. Due to electronic rights restrictions, some third party content may be suppressed. Editorial review has deemed that any suppressed content does not materially affect the overall learning experience. The publisher reserves the right to remove content from this title at any time if subsequent rights restrictions require it. For valuable information on pricing, previous editions, changes to current editions, and alternate formats, please visit www.cengage.com/highered to search by ISBN#, author, title, or keyword for materials in your areas of interest.

Personality, Ninth Edition

Jerry M. Burger

Product Director: Jon-David Hague

Content Developer: Jean L. Smith

Product Assistant: Nicole Richards

Media Developer: Jasmin Tokatlian

Marketing Director: Jennifer Levanduski

Art and Cover Direction, Production
Management, and Composition:

PreMediaGlobal

Manufacturing Planner: Karen Hunt

Cover Image: Rick Rhay/Getty Images

© 2015, 2011 Cengage Learning

WCN: 02-200-203

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No part of this work covered by the copyright herein may be reproduced, transmitted, stored, or used in any form or by any means graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including but not limited to photocopying, recording, scanning, digitizing, taping, Web distribution, information networks, or information storage and retrieval systems, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

For product information and technology assistance, contact us at
Cengage Learning Customer & Sales Support, 1-800-354-9706.

For permission to use material from this text or product,
submit all requests online at www.cengage.com/permissions.

Further permissions questions can be e-mailed to
permissionrequest@cengage.com.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013955319

ISBN-13: 978-1-285-74022-5

ISBN-10: 1-285-74022-X

Cengage Learning

200 First Stamford Place, 4th Floor

Stamford, CT 06902

USA

Cengage Learning is a leading provider of customized learning solutions with office locations around the globe, including Singapore, the United Kingdom, Australia, Mexico, Brazil, and Japan. Locate your local office at www.cengage.com/global.

Cengage Learning products are represented in Canada by
Nelson Education, Ltd.

To learn more about Cengage Learning Solutions, visit www.cengage.com.

Purchase any of our products at your local college store or at our
preferred online store www.cengagebrain.com.

Printed in the United States of America

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 18 17 16 15 14

To Marlene



Brief Contents

PREFACE xvii

ABOUT THE AUTHOR xx

- 1 What Is Personality? 1**
- 2 Personality Research Methods 18**
- 3 The Psychoanalytic Approach: Freudian Theory, Application, and Assessment 39**
- 4 The Freudian Approach: Relevant Research 68**
- 5 The Psychoanalytic Approach: Neo-Freudian Theory, Application, and Assessment 94**
- 6 The Neo-Freudian Theories: Relevant Research 124**
- 7 The Trait Approach: Theory, Application, and Assessment 151**
- 8 The Trait Approach: Relevant Research 184**
- 9 The Biological Approach: Theory, Application, and Assessment 221**
- 10 The Biological Approach: Relevant Research 251**

11	The Humanistic Approach: Theory, Application, and Assessment	276
12	The Humanistic Approach: Relevant Research	308
13	The Behavioral/Social Learning Approach: Theory, Application, and Assessment	343
14	The Behavioral/Social Learning Approach: Relevant Research	375
15	The Cognitive Approach: Theory, Application, and Assessment	412
16	The Cognitive Approach: Relevant Research	436
	APPENDIX	455
	GLOSSARY	459
	REFERENCES	462
	NAME INDEX	519
	SUBJECT INDEX	535



Contents

PREFACE xvii

ABOUT THE AUTHOR xx

1 What Is Personality? 1

The Person and the Situation 2

Defining Personality 3

Six Approaches to Personality 4

Two Examples: Aggression and Depression 6

Personality and Culture 10

The Study of Personality: Theory, Application, Assessment, and Research 11

Theory 12

Application 14

Assessment 14

Research 15

Summary 16

2 Personality Research Methods 18

The Hypothesis-Testing Approach 20

Theories and Hypotheses 20

Experimental Variables 22

Manipulated Versus Nonmanipulated Independent Variables 24

Prediction Versus Hindsight 26

Replication 27

The Case Study Method 28

Limitations of the Case Study Method 28

Strengths of the Case Study Method 29

Statistical Analysis of Data 30

Statistical Significance 31

Correlation Coefficients 32

Personality Assessment	34
Reliability	34
Validity	35
Summary	37

3 The Psychoanalytic Approach: Freudian Theory, Application, and Assessment 39

Freud Discovers the Unconscious	40
The Freudian Theory of Personality	43
The Topographic Model	43
The Structural Model	44
Libido and Thanatos	46
Defense Mechanisms	46
Psychosexual Stages of Development	49
Getting at Unconscious Material	53
Application: Psychoanalysis	56
Assessment: Projective Tests	58
Types of Projective Tests	59
Evaluation of Projective Tests	61
Strengths and Criticisms of Freud's Theory	63
Strengths	63
Criticisms	63
Summary	65

4 The Freudian Approach: Relevant Research 68

Dream Interpretation	69
The Meaning of Dream Content	70
The Function of Dreams	73
Interpreting the Evidence	74
Defense Mechanisms	75
Identifying and Measuring Defense Mechanisms	75
Developmental Differences	77
Defensive Style	79
Humor	80
Freud's Theory of Humor	81
Research on Freud's Theory of Humor	81
Interpreting the Findings	84
Hypnosis	85
What Is Hypnosis?	86
Hypnotic Responsiveness	90
Summary	92

5 The Psychoanalytic Approach: Neo-Freudian Theory, Application, and Assessment 94

- Limits and Liabilities of Freudian Theory 96
- Alfred Adler 96
 - Striving for Superiority 97
 - Parental Influence on Personality Development 98
 - Birth Order 99
- Carl Jung 100
 - The Collective Unconscious 101
 - Some Important Archetypes 102
 - Evidence for the Collective Unconscious 102
- Erik Erikson 105
 - Personality Development Throughout the Life Cycle 105
- Karen Horney 110
 - Neurosis 112
 - Feminine Psychology 115
- Application: Psychoanalytic Theory and Religion 115
- Assessment: Personal Narratives 118
 - Measuring Personality with Personal Narratives 118
 - Generativity and Life Stories 119
- Strengths and Criticisms of Neo-Freudian Theories 120
 - Strengths 120
 - Criticisms 121
- Summary 121

6 The Neo-Freudian Theories: Relevant Research 124

- Anxiety and Coping Strategies 125
 - Coping with Anxiety 127
 - Types of Coping Strategies 128
 - How Effective Are Coping Strategies? 130
 - Coping Flexibility 132
- Psychoanalytic Concepts and Aggression 132
 - Frustration and Aggression 135
 - Displacing Aggression 137
 - Catharsis and Aggression 139
- Attachment Style and Adult Relationships 140
 - Object Relations Theory and Attachment Theory 141
 - Adult Attachment Styles 143
 - Alternate Models and Measurement 145

Attachment Style and Romantic Relationships	146
Summary	149

7 The Trait Approach: Theory, Application, and Assessment 151

The Trait Approach	152
Important Trait Theorists	154
Gordon Allport	155
Henry Murray	157
Factor Analysis and the Search for the Structure of Personality	159
The Big Five	160
Ongoing Questions Related to the Big Five Model	164
The Situation Versus Trait Controversy	167
Criticism of the Trait Approach	167
In Defense of Personality Traits	169
Application: The Big Five in the Workplace	172
Assessment: Self-Report Inventories	174
The Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory	175
Problems with Self-Report Inventories	177
Strengths and Criticisms of the Trait Approach	180
Strengths	180
Criticisms	182
Summary	182

8 The Trait Approach: Relevant Research 184

Achievement Motivation	185
High Achievement Motivation Characteristics	186
Predicting Achievement Behavior	188
Gender, Culture, and Achievement	189
Attributions	190
Achievement Goals	192
Type A, Hostility and Health	195
Type A as a Personality Variable	197
Hostility and Health	198
Social Anxiety	201
Characteristics of Socially Anxious People	202
Explaining Social Anxiety	204
Emotions	206
Emotional Affectivity	207
Affect Intensity	210

Emotional Expressiveness	213
Optimism and Pessimism	215
Dealing with Adversity	216
Optimism and Health	218
Summary	219

9 The Biological Approach: Theory, Application, and Assessment 221

Hans Eysenck's Theory of Personality	223
The Structure of Personality	223
A Biological Basis for Personality	226
Physiological Differences: Stimulation Sensitivity and Behavioral Activation/Inhibition Systems	226
Temperament	230
Temperament and Personality	230
Inhibited and Uninhibited Children	232
Evolutionary Personality Psychology	236
Natural Selection and Psychological Mechanisms	237
Anxiety and Social Exclusion	238
Application: Children's Temperaments and School	239
Temperament and Academic Performance	240
Matching Temperament and Teaching	241
Assessment: Brain Electrical Activity and Cerebral Asymmetry	242
Measuring Brain Activity	243
Cerebral Asymmetry	243
Individual Differences in Cerebral Asymmetry	245
Strengths and Criticisms of the Biological Approach	247
Strengths	247
Criticisms	248
Summary	249

10 The Biological Approach: Relevant Research 251

Heritability of Personality Traits	252
Separating Environmental from Genetic Influences	253
Interpreting the Heritability Findings	258
Extraversion-Introversion	260
The Heritability of Extraversion	260
Extraversion and Preferred Arousal Level	262
Extraversion and Happiness	264
Evolutionary Personality Theory and Mate Selection	266
What Men Look for in Women	267

What Women Look for in Men	271
Conclusions and Limitations	273
Summary	274

11 The Humanistic Approach: Theory, Application, and Assessment 276

The Roots of Humanistic Psychology	278
Key Elements of the Humanistic Approach	279
Personal Responsibility	279
The Here and Now	280
The Experience of the Individual	280
Personal Growth	281
Carl Rogers	281
The Fully Functioning Person	281
Anxiety and Defense	283
Conditions of Worth and Unconditional Positive Regard	284
Abraham Maslow	286
Motivation and the Hierarchy of Needs	286
Misconceptions About Maslow's Need Hierarchy	289
The Study of Psychologically Healthy People	290
The Psychology of Optimal Experience	293
Optimal Experience	293
Optimal Experience and Happiness in Everyday Activities	294
Application: Person-Centered Therapy and Job Satisfaction	296
Person-Centered Therapy	296
Job Satisfaction and the Hierarchy of Needs	298
Assessment: The Q-Sort Technique	300
Strengths and Criticisms of the Humanistic Approach	303
Strengths	303
Criticisms	304
Summary	306

12 The Humanistic Approach: Relevant Research 308

Self-Disclosure	309
Disclosure Reciprocity	311
Self-Disclosure Among Friends and Romantic Partners	313
Disclosing Men and Disclosing Women	314
Disclosing Traumatic Experiences	315
Loneliness	319
Defining and Measuring Loneliness	321
Chronically Lonely People	322
The Causes of Loneliness	323

Self-Esteem	326
Self-Esteem and Reaction to Failure	326
Contingencies of Self-Worth	329
Self-Esteem and Culture	332
Solitude	334
Time Alone	335
Individual Differences in Preference for Solitude	338
Summary	341

13 The Behavioral/Social Learning Approach: Theory, Application, and Assessment 343

Behaviorism	344
Basic Principles of Conditioning	348
Classical Conditioning	348
Operant Conditioning	350
Social Learning Theory	353
Social-Cognitive Theory	356
Reciprocal Determinism	357
Imagination and Self-Regulation	358
Observational Learning	358
Application: Conditioning Principles and Self-Efficacy in Psychotherapy	361
Behavioral Explanations of Psychological Disorders	362
Conditioning Principles	363
Self-Efficacy	365
Assessment: Behavior Observation Methods	368
Direct Observation	369
Self-Monitoring	369
Observation by Others	370
Strengths and Criticisms of the Behavioral/Social Learning Approach	371
Strengths	371
Criticisms	372
Summary	373

14 The Behavioral/Social Learning Approach: Relevant Research 375

Individual Differences in Gender-Role Behavior	376
Masculinity–Femininity	379
Androgyny	380
Gender Type and Psychological Well-Being	381

Gender Type and Interpersonal Relationships	384
Unmitigated Agency and Unmitigated Communion	386
Observational Learning of Aggression	388
Bandura's Four-Step Model	389
Mass Media Aggression and Aggressive Behavior	392
Violent Video Games	395
Learned Helplessness	397
Learning to Be Helpless	398
Learned Helplessness in Humans	399
Some Applications of Learned Helplessness	401
Locus of Control	403
Locus of Control and Well-Being	405
Locus of Control and Health	408
Summary	411

15 The Cognitive Approach: Theory, Application, and Assessment 412

Personal Construct Theory	414
Personal Construct Systems	415
Inadequate Personal Constructs	416
Cognitive Personality Variables	417
Cognitive Representations of the Self	418
Self-Schemas	419
Possible Selves	423
Self Discrepancies	425
Application: Cognitive (Behavior) Psychotherapy	426
Rational Emotive (Behavior) Therapy	427
Assessment: The Repertory Grid Technique	429
Strengths and Criticisms of the Cognitive Approach	432
Strengths	432
Criticisms	433
Summary	434

16 The Cognitive Approach: Relevant Research 436

Cognitions and Aggression	437
General Aggression Model	437
Reactive Aggression in Boys	440
Gender, Memory, and Self-Construal	442
Emotional Memories	442
Memories About Relationships	444

Cognitions and Depression	447
Negative Schemas	448
Negative Cognitive Style	451
Summary	453
APPENDIX	455
GLOSSARY	459
REFERENCES	462
NAME INDEX	519
SUBJECT INDEX	535



Preface

The day after I sent the ninth edition of this book to the publisher, I went to my bookshelf and pulled out a copy of the first edition. Few will remember (I hope) the sickly gray cover depicting the Greek statue. There were only 12 chapters, and the book was printed in only one color. And the writing was—well, as a teacher once told me, wincing at how you used to write indicates how much you have improved. Thumbing through the pages, I was struck by how much the book has changed over the course of nine editions—changes that reflect, among other things, the vibrancy of the field. Of course, many topics remain from that initial edition; Freud hasn't added much to his theory in the past few decades. But the differences between the first and ninth editions far outweigh the similarities. There are new topics, new learning aids, new examples, and hundreds and hundreds of new references. And yet, I would argue, the essence of the book—the structure, the philosophy—has remained intact. Let me explain.

What's New?

As in previous revisions, each chapter has been updated to reflect new research findings and new developments in the field. More than 300 references have been added to this edition. I've devoted more space to topics that have generated an increasing amount of research in recent years. The expanded topics include the behavioral activation and behavioral inhibition systems (Chapter 9), unmitigated agency (Chapter 14), and programs to reduce aggression in children (Chapter 16). In Chapter 7, you will find an expanded discussion of recent attempts to replace the Big Five model. That chapter also includes some new historical information about research published in 1949 that foreshadowed the emergence of the Big Five decades later. I've also reduced or eliminated coverage of topics that seem to have fallen out of favor with personality researchers. Most noteworthy of the discarded topics is research on defensive pessimism that previously had appeared in Chapter 8.

Previous users also will find a few new personality inventories. This edition includes tests to measure coping flexibility and preference for solitude. On the other hand, tests measuring loneliness and assertiveness have been

dropped from the last edition. There also are a couple of new *In the News* topics. These new topics include changes in the kinds of toys marketed to girls and boys (Chapter 14) and thinking about loneliness as a public health issue (Chapter 12).

Perhaps the biggest change in this edition is the addition of an Appendix. The new appendix is the result of comments from several students who enjoyed taking the personality inventories but wanted an easy way to combine all the information they gained about themselves into one comprehensive picture.

What's the Same?

The philosophy that guided the organization and writing of the first eight editions remains. I wrote this book to organize within one textbook the two approaches typically taken by instructors of undergraduate personality courses. Many instructors focus on the great theories and theorists—a chapter each on Freud, Jung, Rogers, Skinner, and so on. Students in these classes gain insight into the structure of the mind and issues of human nature, as well as a background for understanding psychological disorders and psychotherapy. However, these students are likely to be puzzled when they pick up a current journal of personality research only to find they recognize few, if any, of the topics. Other instructors emphasize personality research. Students in these classes learn about current studies on individual differences and personality processes. But they probably see little relationship between the abstract theories they may touch upon in class and the research topics that are the focus of the course.

However, these two approaches to teaching the course do not represent separate disciplines that happen to share the word *personality* in their titles. Indeed, the structure of this book is designed to demonstrate that the classic theories stimulate research and that research findings often shape the development and acceptance of the theories. Limiting a student's attention to either theory or research provides an unnecessarily narrow view of the field.

Something else that remains from the earlier editions is my belief that students learn about research best by seeing *programs* of research rather than a few isolated examples. Twenty-six research programs are covered in the seven research chapters in this edition. In each case I have tried to illustrate how the questions being investigated are connected to a larger theory, how early researchers developed their initial hypotheses and investigations, and how experimental findings lead to new questions, refined hypotheses, and ultimately a greater understanding of the topic. Through this process, students are exposed to some of the problems researchers encounter, the fact that experimental results are often equivocal, and a realistic picture of researchers who don't always agree on how to interpret findings.

I also have preserved the structure used in previous editions for the theory chapters. Each of these chapters contains a section on application and a section on assessment. The application sections demonstrate how the sometimes abstract theories relate to everyday concerns and issues. Students

discover in the assessment sections how each approach to understanding personality brings with it unique assumptions and problems when attempting to measure relevant personality constructs.

I've retained the personality tests students can take and score themselves. There are now 14 “Assessing Your Own Personality” boxes scattered throughout the book. I've discovered in my own teaching that discussions about, for example, social anxiety are more engaging after students discover how they score on a social anxiety test. This hands-on experience not only gives students a better idea of how personality assessment works, but often generates a little healthy skepticism about relying too heavily on such measures. I've also retained the biographies of prominent personality theorists in this edition. Feedback from students indicates that knowing something about the person behind the theory helps to make the theory come alive. My students often speculate about how a theorist's life affected the development of his or her theory. Students and instructors also tell me they like the *In the News* boxes I introduced five editions ago. Consequently, these have been retained as well.

Acknowledgments

Thanks are extended to all the people who helped with the production of this book. This includes the many colleagues who reviewed various parts of the manuscript: Diane Mello-Goldner, Pine Manor College; Tanya Renner, Kapiolani Community College; James Casebolt, Ohio University—Eastern, Heather LaCost, Waubensee Community College; Catherine Pitman, Saint Mary's College; Lee Shrader, Lorain County Community College; Karen Savarese, Southern Connecticut State University; Linda Lindman, University of South Alabama; W. Amory Carr, University of New Haven; and Howard Markowitz, Hawaii Pacific University—Honolulu.

And, as always, I thank Marlene, whose understanding and support through all nine editions have made this book possible.



About the Author

JERRY M. BURGER is professor of psychology at Santa Clara University. He is the author of more than a hundred journal articles and book chapters and has published two books: *Desire for Control: Personality, Social and Clinical Perspectives* and *Returning Home: Reconnecting with Our Childhoods*. He has been on the editorial board of several academic journals, including the *Journal of Personality* and the *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, and has served as an associate editor for the “Personality Processes and Individual Difference” section of the *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. In his spare time he likes to run, read, and write. You can send comments about the book to him at jburger@scu.edu.

What Is Personality?

The Person and the Situation

Defining Personality

Six Approaches to Personality

Personality and Culture

The Study of Personality: Theory, Application,
Assessment, and Research

Summary

At 2:45 in the afternoon on May 22, 2013, a devastating tornado touched down in the suburbs of Oklahoma City. The 1.3-mile-wide tornado plowed a 17-mile path through the community, leaving piles of rubble and debris where minutes earlier homes, schools, hospitals, and businesses had been standing. Wind speeds reached as high as 210 miles per hour. By the time the storm lifted 50 minutes later, a large part of Moore, Oklahoma, and other nearby cities had been destroyed. More than 12,000 homes were damaged, many of them completely obliterated by the storm. Twenty-four people were dead, including 10 children.

In the days that followed, residents discovered the extent of their losses, considered how their lives were changed, and helped those who had lost the most. While the community grieved, condolences and concern for the victims and their families poured in from public officials and citizens from across the country.

Powerful events have a way of bringing out similar reactions in people. Someone might point to this tragedy to illustrate how much alike each of us really is, how all people are basically the same. Yet if we look a little more closely, even in this situation we can see that not everyone reacted in the same way. Some people joined rescue teams to search through the piles of bricks and boards. Others pitched tents on their lawns vowing to protect what remained of their possessions. Some opened their homes to strangers who no longer had a home of their own. Others expressed anger at officials who had failed to build storm shelters in the basements of the elementary schools where children had died. Some dropped off food, clothing, diapers, and checks at quickly assembled donation centers. Others struggled to cope with the emotional aftermath of the storm and a growing sense of helplessness. Many turned to religion to find meaning and comfort, but some struggled to find the hand of God in so much suffering. Some residents who had lost everything vowed to rebuild. Others decided it was time to leave.

In many ways, the reactions to the Oklahoma tornado are typical of people who are suddenly thrown into a unique and tragic situation. At first, the demands of the situation overwhelm individual differences, but soon each person's characteristic way of dealing with the situation and the emotional aftermath begins to surface. The more we look, the more we see that people are not all alike. The closer we look, the more we begin to see differences among people. These characteristic differences are the focus of this book. They are part of what we call personality. Moreover, personality psychologists have already studied many of the topics and issues that surfaced in the Oklahoma tragedy. Coping with stress, emotions, religion, anxiety, feelings of helplessness, and many other relevant topics are covered in various places in this book.

The Person and the Situation

Is our behavior shaped by the situation we are in or by the type of person we are? In the Oklahoma tornado tragedy, did people act the way they did because of the events surrounding them, or were their reactions more the

“The outstanding characteristic of man is his individuality. There was never a person just like him, and there never will be again.”

GORDON ALLPORT

result of the kind of people they were before the incident? This is one of the enduring questions in psychology. The generally agreed-upon answer today is that both the situation and the person contribute to behavior. Certainly we don't act the same way in all situations. Depending on where we are and what is happening, each of us can be outgoing, shy, aggressive, friendly, depressed, frightened, or excited. But it is equally apparent that not everyone at the same party, the same ball game, or the same shopping center behaves identically. The debate among psychologists has now shifted to the question of how the situation influences our behavior as well as how our behavior reflects the individual.

We can divide the fields of study within psychology along the answer to this question. Many psychologists concern themselves with how people *typically* respond to environmental demands. These researchers recognize that not everyone in a situation reacts the same. Their goal is to identify patterns that generally describe what most people will do. Thus a social psychologist might create different situations in which participants encounter someone in need of help. The purpose of this research is to identify the kinds of situations that increase or decrease helping behavior, but personality psychologists turn this way of thinking completely around. We know there are typical response patterns to situations, but what we find more interesting is why Peter tends to help more than Paul, even when both are presented with the same request.

You may have heard the axiom, “There are few differences between people, but what differences there are, really matter.” That tends to sum up the personality psychologists' viewpoint. They want to know what makes you different from the person sitting next to you. Why do some people make friends easily, whereas others are lonely? Why are some people prone to bouts of depression? Can we predict who will rise to the top of the business ladder and who will fall short? Why are some people introverted, whereas others are so outgoing? Each of these questions is explored in this book. Other topics covered include how your personality is related to hypnotic responsiveness, reactions to stress, how well you do in school, and even your chances of having a heart attack.

This is not to say that situations are unimportant or of no interest to personality psychologists. Indeed, as discussed in Chapter 7, many of the questions posed by personality researchers concern how a certain kind of person behaves in a particular situation. However, the emphasis of this book is on what makes you different from the next person—that is, your personality. Before addressing that question, let's start by defining “personality.”

Defining Personality

Anyone who has been in college a while can probably anticipate the topic of the first lecture of the term. The philosophy professor asks, “What is philosophy?” The first class meeting in a communication course centers on the question, “What is communication?” Those who teach geography, history, and calculus have similar lectures. And so, for traditional and practical reasons, psychology professors too begin with the basic question, “What is personality?”

Although a definition follows, bear in mind that psychologists do not agree on a single answer to this question. In fact, personality psychologists are engaged in an ongoing and perhaps never-ending discussion of how to describe human personality and what topics belong within this subfield of psychology (Mayer, 2005; McAdams & Pals, 2006). As you will see, each personality theorist covered in this book also has a different idea about what personality psychologists ought to study. Whereas one theorist points to unconscious mechanisms, another might look at learning histories, and still another at the way people organize their thoughts. Although some students might find this lack of agreement frustrating, let me suggest from the outset that these different viewpoints provide a rich and exciting framework within which to explore the complexities of the individual.

Personality can be defined as *consistent behavior patterns and intrapersonal processes originating within the individual*. Several aspects of this simple definition need elaboration. Notice that there are two parts to it. The first part is concerned with consistent patterns of behavior. Personality researchers often refer to these as *individual differences*. The important point here is that personality is *consistent*. We can identify these consistent behavior patterns across time and across situations. We expect someone who is outgoing today to be outgoing tomorrow. Someone who is competitive at work is also quite likely competitive in sports. We acknowledge this consistency in character when we say, “It was just like her to do that” or “He was just being himself.” Of course, this does not mean an extraverted person is boisterous and jolly all the time, on solemn occasions as well as at parties. Nor does it mean people cannot change. But if personality exists and behavior is not just a reflection of whatever situation we find ourselves in, then we must expect some consistency in the way people act.

The second part of the definition concerns intrapersonal processes. In contrast to *interpersonal* processes, which take place between people, intrapersonal processes include all the emotional, motivational, and cognitive processes that go on inside of us that affect how we act and feel. Thus, you will find that many personality psychologists are interested in topics like depression, information processing, happiness, and denial.

It also is important to note that, according to the definition, these consistent behavior patterns and intrapersonal processes originate within the individual. This is not to say that external sources do not influence personality. Certainly the way parents raise their children affects the kind of adults the children become. And, of course, the emotions we experience are often a reaction to the events we encounter. The point is that behavior is not solely a function of the situation. The fear we experience while watching a frightening movie is the result of the film, but the different ways we each express or deal with that fear come from within.

Six Approaches to Personality

What are the sources of consistent behavior patterns and intrapersonal processes? This is the basic question asked by personality theorists and researchers. One reason for the length of this book is that personality

psychologists have answered this question in many different ways. To help make sense of the wide range of personality theories proposed over the past century, we'll look at six general approaches to explaining personality. These are the psychoanalytic approach, the trait approach, the biological approach, the humanistic approach, the behavioral/social learning approach, and the cognitive approach. Although the fit is not always perfect, each of the major theories of personality can be placed into one of these six general approaches.

Why so many theories of personality? Let me answer this question by way of analogy. Nearly everyone has heard the story about the five blind men who encounter an elephant. Each feels a different part of the animal and then tries to explain to the others what an elephant is like. The blind man feeling the leg describes the elephant as tall and round. Another feels the ear and claims an elephant is thin and flat, whereas another, holding onto the trunk, describes the animal as long and slender. The man feeling the tail and the one touching the elephant's side have still different images. The point to this story, of course, is that each man knows only a part of the whole animal. Because there is more to the elephant than what he has experienced, each man's description is correct but incomplete.

In one sense, the six approaches to personality are analogous to the blind men. That is, each approach does seem to correctly identify and examine an important aspect of human personality. For example, psychologists who subscribe to the *psychoanalytic approach* argue that people's unconscious minds are largely responsible for important differences in their behavior styles. Other psychologists, those who favor the *trait approach*, identify where a person might lie along a continuum of various personality characteristics. Psychologists advocating the *biological approach* point to inherited predispositions and physiological processes to explain individual differences in personality. In contrast, those promoting the *humanistic approach* identify personal responsibility and feelings of self-acceptance as the key causes of differences in personality. *Behavioral/social learning* theorists explain consistent behavior patterns as the result of conditioning and expectations. Those promoting the *cognitive approach* look at differences in the way people process information to explain differences in behavior.

It's tempting to suggest that by combining all six approaches we can obtain the larger, accurate picture of why people act the way they do. Unfortunately, the blind men analogy can only be stretched so far. Although different approaches to a given question in personality often vary only in emphasis—with each providing a legitimate, compatible explanation—in many instances the explanations from two or more approaches may be entirely incompatible. Thus people who work in the field often align themselves with one or another of the six approaches as they decide which of the competing explanations makes the most sense to them.

Returning to the blind men and the elephant, suppose someone were to ask how an elephant moves. The man feeling the trunk might argue that the elephant slithers along the ground like a snake. The man holding the elephant's ear might disagree, saying that the elephant must fly like a bird with its big, floppy wings. The man touching the leg would certainly have a

different explanation. Although in some instances more than one of these explanations might be accurate (for example, a bird can both walk and fly), it should be obvious that at times not every theory can be right. It also is possible that one theory may be correct in describing one part of human personality, whereas another theory may be correct in describing other aspects.

No doubt some theories will resonate with you more than others. But it is worth keeping in mind that each approach has been developed and promoted by a large number of respected psychologists. Although not all of these men and women are correct about every issue, each approach has something of value to offer in our quest to understand what makes each of us who we are.

Two Examples: Aggression and Depression

To get a better idea of how the six approaches to understanding personality provide six different, yet legitimate, explanations for consistent patterns of behavior, let's look at two common examples. Aggressive behavior and the suffering that comes from depression are widespread problems in our society, and psychologists from many different perspectives have looked into their causes.

Example 1: Aggression

Unfortunately, there is no shortage of people who consistently engage in aggressive behavior. People arrested for assault typically have a history of violence that goes back to playground fights in childhood. Why are some people consistently more aggressive than others? Each of the six approaches to personality provides at least one answer. As you read these answers, think about an aggressive person you have encountered or read about. Which of the six explanations seems to do the best job of explaining that person's behavior?

The classic psychoanalytic explanation of aggression points to an unconscious death instinct. That is, we are all said to possess an unconscious desire to self-destruct. However, because people with a healthy personality do not hurt themselves, these self-destructive impulses may be turned outward and expressed against others in the form of aggression. Other psychoanalysts argue that aggression results when we are blocked from reaching our goals. A person who experiences a great deal of frustration, perhaps someone who is constantly falling short of a desired goal, is a likely candidate for persistent aggressive behavior. In most cases, the person is unaware of the real reasons for the aggression.

Personality theorists who follow the trait approach focus on individual differences and the stability of aggressive behavior (Bettencourt, Talley, Benjamin, & Valentine, 2006). For example, one team of researchers measured aggressiveness in 8-year-old children (Huesmann, Eron, & Yarmel, 1987). The investigators interviewed the participants again when the participants were 30 years old. The researchers discovered that the children identified as aggressive in elementary school were the most likely to have become aggressive adults. The children who pushed and shoved their classmates

often grew into adults who abused their spouses and engaged in violent criminal behavior.

Personality psychologists from the biological perspective also are interested in stable patterns of aggressive behavior. They point to a genetic predisposition to act aggressively as one reason for this stability. Evidence now suggests that some people inherit more of a proclivity toward aggression than others (Miles & Carey, 1997). That is, some people may be born with aggressive dispositions that, depending on their upbringing, result in their becoming aggressive adults. Other psychologists explain aggression in terms of evolutionary theory (Cairns, 1986). For example, the fact that men tend to be more aggressive than women might be explained by the male's inherited need to exercise control over rivals so that he can survive and pass along his genes. Other researchers look at the role hormones and neurotransmitters play in aggressive behavior (Berman, McCloskey, Fanning, Schumacher, & Coccaro, 2009; Klimesmith, Kasser, & McAndrew, 2006).

Psychologists with a humanistic approach to personality explain aggression in yet another way. These theorists deny that some individuals are born to be aggressive. In fact, many argue that people are basically good. They believe all people can become happy, nonviolent adults if allowed to grow and develop in an enriching and encouraging environment. Problems develop when something interferes with this natural growth process. Aggressive children often come from homes in which basic needs are not met adequately. If the child develops a poor self-image, he or she may strike out at others in frustration.

The behavioral/social learning approach contrasts in many ways with the humanistic view. According to these psychologists, people learn to be aggressive the same way they learn other behaviors. Playground bullies find that aggressive behavior is rewarded. They get to bat first and have first choice of playground equipment because other children fear them. The key to the behavioral interpretation is that rewarded behavior will be repeated. Thus the bully probably will continue this aggressive behavior and try it in other situations. If the aggression is continually met with rewards instead of punishment, the result will be an aggressive adult. People also learn from watching models. Children may learn from watching aggressive classmates that hurting others is sometimes useful. As discussed in Chapter 14, many people are concerned that the aggressive role models children routinely watch on television may be responsible for increasing the amount of violence in society.

Cognitive psychologists approach the question of aggressive behavior from yet another perspective. Their main focus is on the way aggressive people process information. Certain cues in the environment, such as images of guns and fighting, often trigger a network of aggressive thoughts and emotions. When aggressive thoughts are highly accessible, people are more likely to interpret situations as threatening and respond to those perceived threats with violence. Although most of us ignore unintended insults and accidental bumps in the hallway, individuals with highly accessible aggressive thoughts are likely to respond with threats of violence and angry shoves.

Now, let's return to the original question: Why do some people show a consistent pattern of aggressive behavior? Each of the six approaches to personality offers a different explanation. Which is correct? One possibility is that only one is correct and that future research will identify that theory. A second possibility is that each approach is partially correct. There may be six (or more) different causes of aggressive behavior. Still a third possibility is that the six explanations do not contradict one another but rather differ only in their focus. That is, it's possible that aggressiveness is relatively stable and reflects an aggressive trait (the trait approach). But it might also be the case that some people tend to interpret ambiguous events as threatening (the cognitive explanation) because of past experiences in which they were assaulted (the behavioral/social learning explanation). These people may have been born with a tendency to respond to threats in an aggressive manner (the biological approach). But perhaps if they had been raised in a nonfrustrating environment (the psychoanalytic approach) or in a supportive home in which their basic needs were met (the humanistic approach), they would have overcome their aggressive tendencies. The point is that each approach appears to contribute something to our understanding of aggression.

Example 2: Depression

Most of us know what it is like to be depressed. We have all had days when we feel a little blue or melancholy. Like many college students, you may also have suffered through longer periods of intense sadness and a general lack of motivation to do anything. Although most of us fluctuate through changing moods and levels of interest and energy, some people seem more prone to depression than others. Once again, each of the six approaches to personality has a different explanation for individual differences in depression.

According to Sigmund Freud, the founder of the psychoanalytic approach, depression is anger turned inward. That is, people suffering from depression hold unconscious feelings of anger and hostility. They may want to strike out at family members, but a healthy personality does not express such feelings overtly. Psychoanalysts also argue that each of us has internalized the standards and values of society, which typically discourage the expression of hostility. Therefore, these angry feelings are turned inward, and people take it out on themselves. As with most psychoanalytic explanations, this process takes place at an unconscious level.

Trait theorists are concerned with identifying depression-prone individuals. Researchers find that a person's general emotional level today is a good indicator of that person's emotions in the future. One team of investigators measured depression in a group of middle-aged men and again 30 years later (Leon, Gillum, Gillum, & Gouze, 1979). The researchers found an impressively high correlation between the men's depression levels at the two different times. Yet another study found that depression levels in 18-year-olds could be predicted from looking at participants' behavior from as early as 7 years of age (Block, Gjerde, & Block, 1991).



Photo courtesy of Emily Murphy

What causes depression? Depending on which approach to personality you adopt, you might explain depression in terms of anger turned inward, a stable trait, an inherited predisposition, low self-esteem, a lack of reinforcers, or negative thoughts.

Biological personality psychologists point to evidence that some people may inherit a genetic susceptibility to depression (McGue & Christensen, 1997). A person born with this vulnerability faces a much greater likelihood than the average individual of reacting to stressful life events with depression. Because of this inherited tendency, these people often experience repeated bouts of depression throughout their lives.

Humanistic personality theorists explain depression in terms of self-esteem. That is, people who frequently suffer from depression are those who have failed to develop a good sense of their self-worth. A person's level of self-esteem is established while growing up and, like other personality concepts, is fairly stable across time and situations. The ability to accept oneself, even one's faults and weaknesses, is an important goal for humanistic therapists when dealing with clients suffering from depression.

The behavioral/social learning approach examines the type of learning history that leads to depression. Behaviorists argue that depression results from a lack of positive reinforcers in a person's life. That is, you may feel down and unmotivated because you see few activities in your life worth doing. A more extensive behavioral model of depression, covered in Chapter 14, proposes that depression develops from experiences with aversive situations over which people have little control. This theory maintains that exposure to uncontrollable events creates a perception of helplessness